COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF LEXINGTON MSA LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP FOR ISSUANCE OF A

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE

AND NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT AN

ADDITIONAL CELL SITE IN LEXINGTON,

KENTUCKY FOR THE PROVISION OF

DOMESTIC PUBLIC CELLULAR RADIO

TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE TO THE

PUBLIC IN THE LEXINGTON MSA

)

ORDER

The Commission has received the attached letters from the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, Dr. Sharon Napier, Vicki Holladay, David Hungerford, Thelma P. Goodwin, and Harriet H. Finn (hereinafter referred to as "Petitioners") regarding the proposed cellular telecommunications facility to be located at the Waller Place Condominiums, Waller Avenue, Lexington, Fayette County, Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. Lexington MSA Limited Partnership ("Lexington MSA") shall respond to Petitioners' questions by certified letter, within 20 days of the date of this Order.
- 2. Lexington MSA shall file a copy of the certified letter and dated receipt, within 7 days of the date on the receipt.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 26th day of January, 1996.

ATTEST:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Executive Director

For the Commission





Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government

Department of Housing & Community Development • Division of Planning • Planning Services

January 16, 1996

Don Mills, Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: PSC Case Number 96-001

Dear Mr. Mills:

The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government (hereinafter referred to as LFUCG) wishes to state its concerns regarding the above-captioned application for a cellular tower and equipment shelter for property located at 342 Waller Avenue. The information provided to us by Lexington MSA limited partnership is not detailed enough for us to properly determine the potential impact of the tower upon the existing residential and office development on this site and the surrounding properties, many of which are residential. I specifically request that the following items be provided for our review, or issue otherwise addressed as appropriate:

- 1. A copy of the application filed with the PSC; in particular, the nature of the proposed tower (monopole or lattice), and a detailed plan (to scale) showing how the proposed tower would be sited in relation to the existing development (buildings, parking, etc.) on this property; demonstrating that requirements of the Zoning Ordinance can be maintained for the property. Also, the boundary lines of any proposed subdivision for the purposes of leasing as required by KRS Chapter 100; demonstrating compliance with the Subdivision Regulations.
- 2. Information as to whether or not Lexington MSA considered utilizing the existing eight story building on this site as part of the structure to elevate the transmitting equipment.
- 3. Addition of a condition by the PSC that the tower be removed if it ever ceases to be used as a cellular transmitting facility.
- 4. Information as to proposed screening/buffering of the proposed facilities from adjoining properties.

The LFUCG's Cellular Tower Committee will review the requested information in the hope that any concerns can be addressed short of requesting intervention. I am also sending a copy of this letter to Sam McNamara, attorney for Lexington MSA Limited Partnership.

The Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government looks forward to a response from the applicant and/or the Public Service Commission on these requests.

Sincerely,

Christopher D. King

Deputy Planning Manager

Chairman, LFUCG Cellular Tower Committee

c: Mayor Pam Miller (c/o Charlie Boland)

Sam G. McNamara, Attorney for Lexington MSA

Cellular Tower Committee Members:

Councilmember Fred Brown, Jimmy Campbell, Keith Horn, Terry Tipton,

& Casey Wilson

ck29/ctmills7.ltr

Doxson January 9, 1996 **Sharon Napier MD** 1539 Heron Lane Lexington, Kentucky 40503 **Dear Executive Directors Office:** I am writing this letter regarding Case No. 96-001. As you know, this refers to potential construction of a cellular communications tower within 500 feet of my home! I have many objections to the construction of such a tower so close to my home. The property value of my house will surely decrease. In addition, the natural beauty of this portion of the neighborhood will be destroyed. There certainly must be better choices for a construction site. Perhaps a more industrial area. If such an unsightly tower is constructed in this neighborhood, I would hope that the local residents would be provided with information regarding possible interference with other electronic/communications devices. Please choose another location for construction of the tower. Sincerely, Shewmopin **Sharon Napier MD**

January 18, 1996

The state of Dear Sivo Madane, re: Case No. 96-001 It would be atrocions to build a tomer of 160'a this location. It would be ugly at any location, but it is especially inappropriate where an entire reightorhood can overlook it, and where even the businesses & offices for the most part do not exceed 200 3 stories. I don't want to look at it, I feel it would affect the quality of our daily hims and our property values. The front wall of my living room is nearly all window and should zero in on this monstrosity necely. Ulso, I find it hard to believe that this wouldn't cause us problems with our telephones,

also, I find it has to believe that this wouldn't cause us problems with our telephones, wouldn't cause us problems with our telephones, televisions, pacemakers, itc. Or, looking at it from the point of view of a thunderstorm. If you were tooking along at 30-40 MPH and saw

Joseph B. Tr. a great, ugly, steel thing; wouldn't you jump on it and burn it to the ground? Thank you very much for your time & attention Vicki Holladay 1540 Heron Lane Wind Many W. Lex. Ky. 40503=1110 it is appoint in propiet where the intimight had an our to the it, and others. The properties of the fire the mast part it with recommendation. 20. 25tille a difference to lot of it, or for to walk offer the quality of the laid, him inco in papely in w. h. from mid for finish Commence of Language and March of the first state of the first in this in a site in the they a sint it much to believe that this entitie outsie is problem not ent turible. The Later of Francisco Contract of the Contrac from the print of a distance of the distance. I will there teaps of area for the piece ask the

David A. Hungerford
Lauralyn S. Hungerford
1547 Heron Lane
Lexington, Ky 40503

Executive Director's Office
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
Post Office Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602
Re: Case No. 96-001
To Whom It May Concern:
This is to inform the commission of our strong objection to
Lexington MSA Limited Partnership's application to build a 160
foot tower adjoining our property. Because such a facility is

This is to inform the commission of our strong objection to Lexington MSA Limited Partnership's application to build a 160 foot tower adjoining our property. Because such a facility is inappropriate to a long established residential neighborhood, and will significantly damage the value of our property, and our enjoyment of it, we request the right of intervention in this matter.

Sincerely

David Hungerford

Ms. Thelma P. Goodwn
635 Wichita Dr.
Lexington, KY 40503 Jan 12.1996 RECEIVED Fec sin Mice Frankfort Ky 40602 JAN 18 1996 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Re! Case # .96-00/ Due to this address being an 80 unit apaulinent blidg Lam opposed to this Tropisal. Thank Jan Thelina F. Goodwin unit owner

RECEIVED

JAN 2 4 1996

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

January 15, 1996

Executive Director's Office
Public Service Commission of Kentucky
Post Office Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40502

RE: Public Notice - Public Service commission of KY Case No. 96-001

Dear Sir:

This is in response to your letter dated January 2, 1996 concerning Lexington MSA Limited Partnership request for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to construct and operate a new facility to provide cellular radio telecommunication service at 342 Waller Avenue, Lexington, Kentucky 40504.

I object to the proposed tower to be placed near my property (1554 Jacana Avenue) because of the health hazard, based on studies associated with electro-magnetic generation. A certain amount of electro-magnetic energy is radiated from the transmission of cellular phone service and is considered dangerous to our health.

The construction of the tower will definitely have a negative influnence on property values due to the tower's unsightly appearance, and the health hazards associated with the service.

If the construction of the tower is approved and erected, all property owners should be compensated by Lexington MSA Limited Partnership for the detrimental impact it will have on the property resale values.

Please advise me on the result of the request.

Sincerely,

Harriet H. Finn

10913 Fenway Glen Court Riverview, Florida 33569

Harconist H Jinn

Copy: Sam G. MCNamara
Attorney At Law
315 East High Street
Frankfort Kentucky 40602